FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

IN REPLY REFER TO: CC92-77

September 16, 1994

RECEIVED

SEP 2 2 1994

The Honorable John Breaux United States Senate 516 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Dear Senator Breaux:

Thank you for your letter on behalf of Wayne F. McElveen, Sheriff, Calcasieu Parish, regarding the Commission's Billed Party Preference (BPP) proceeding. On May 19, 1994, the Commission adopted a <u>Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking</u> in this proceeding. I have enclosed a copy of the <u>Further Notice</u> and press release accompanying it for your information.

The <u>Further Notice</u> sets forth a detailed cost/benefit analysis of BPP. This analysis indicates, based on the available data, that the benefits of BPP to consumers would exceed its costs. The <u>Further Notice</u> seeks comment on this analysis and asks interested parties to supplement the record concerning the costs and benefits of BPP. The <u>Further Notice</u> also invites parties to recommend alternatives to BPP that could produce many of the same benefits at a lower cost.

The <u>Further Notice</u> also explicitly seeks comment on whether correctional facility telephones should be exempt if BPP is adopted. Specifically, the <u>Further Notice</u> seeks additional information on the effectiveness and costs of controlling fraud originating on inmate lines with or without BPP. The <u>Further Notice</u> also seeks comment on a proposal to exempt prison telephones from BPP if the operator service provider adheres to rate ceilings for inmate calling services.

BPP would not preclude prison officials from blocking or limiting inmate calls to specific telephone numbers in order to prevent threatening and harassing calls. Moreover, BPP would not affect the ability of prison officials to limit inmates to collect calling or to program telephone equipment at the prison site to block certain numbers.

No. of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE The Honorable John Breaux Page 2

Thank you for your interest in this proceeding. I can assure you that the Commission will carefully examine all of the comments submitted in response to the <u>Further Notice</u>, including additional empirical data regarding the costs and benefits of implementing BPP and the impact of BPP on telephone service from correctional facilities.

Sincerely yours

Kathleen M.H. Wallman

Chief

Common Carrier Bureau

Enclosures

JOHN BREAUX LOUISIANA

MAJORITY CHIEF DEPUTY WHIP

COMMITTEES:

COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION

FINANCE

SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON AGING

WASHINGTON OFFICE: (202) 224-4623

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

STATE OFFICES:

ONE AMERICAN PLACE, SUITE 2030 BATON ROUGE, LA 70825 (504) 382-2050

THE FEDERAL BUILDING 705 JEFFERSON STREET, ROOM 103 LAFAYETTE, LA 70501 (318) 262-6871

WASHINGTON SQUARE ANNEX BUILDING 211 NORTH 3RD STREET, ROOM 102A MONROE, LA 71201 (318) 325-3320

HALE BOGGS FEDERAL BUILDING 501 MAGAZINE STREET, SUITE 1005 NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130 (504) 589-2531

> CENTRAL LOUISIANA: (318) 487-8445

August 25, 1994

Ms. Lauren J. Belzin
Acting Director, Office of Legislative Affairs
Federal Communications Commission
Room 808
1919 M. Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Belzin:

I have been contacted by Sheriff Wayne McElveen concerning billed party preference at inmate facilities.

Please investigate the enclosed information sent to me and provide me with a report. Your reply may be forwarded to the attention of Alice Peterson.

Thank you for your attention and assistance.

/you

United States Senator

JB: age Enclosure

Sheriff



Wayne F. McElveen

SHERIFF AND EX-OFFICIO TAX COLLECTOR

PARISH OF CALCASIEU
P.O. BOX V
LAKE CHARLES, LOUISIANA 70802

July 25, 1994

The Honorable John Breaux 156 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Breaux:

We are opposed to the application of Billed Party Preference (BPP) at inmate facilities.

We know the security needs at our facility and feel that it is imperative to route inmate calls from our facility to a single carrier that is equipped to properly handle inmate calls and with whom we have a contractual relationship. BPP will take away our ability to coordinate inmate calls through a carrier we know and trust. Instead, inmate calls may be routed to a number of different carriers, none of whom will have any obligation to us, and therefore not be required to provide tracking reports on these calls.

We have installed phone equipment specifically designed for inmate calls. This equipment is designed to help prevent fraud, abusive calls, and other criminal activity over the telephone network. We have a contract with a local, reputable firm that is obligated to work with us as we strive to maintain the security of this institution. The courts have always held that security of an institution is paramount, and have given much latitude to jail administrators to ensure that remains the case.

The Public Service Commission guarantees that our service provider may not take advantage of inmate families by charging abusive rates. We are not in the business of generating money from inmate phone calls. If this were the case, we would constantly "shop" providers to obtain the best rates for us. We have the same provider we opened this facility within in 1990. There are other providers that can give us more revenue, but we are more concerned with security and accountability. The BPP system will give us that ability.

I am asking that you urge the FCC Commissioners not to adopt regulations that interfere with our security and administrative needs; needs that the courts have left to our discretion and which we have a public responsibility to make.

Respectfully submitted,

WAYNE F. MCELVEEN, SHERIFF

Calcasieu Parish

WFMcE:krb

P.S. Thanks again for all your help with the C.A.P. Grant.